



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amendments to the claims which would place the application in condition for allowance. Claim 26, being amended to inflammatory bowel disease, and new claim 32, drawn to treating primary biliary cirrhosis, would be allowable, finding sufficient enablement in the specification as filed. All remaining claims would be cancelled and the restriction would be withdrawn only to the extent 26 and 'new' claim 32 would be rejoined. The examiner indicated that Hollander (attached) would have formed the basis of the obviousness rejection, similar to that which was previously set forth, however it was published 1/03. Applicant's representative requested time to consult with the client/foreign associate. In the interview of 7/17/10, the examiner indicated that dependent claims from 26 and 32 would be entered and that 'inflammatory' would replace 'irritable' in claim 26, as it is the exemplified embodiment of the specification and appeared to be a typographical error, as irritable bowel disease is not a 'real' condition, but rather inflammatory bowel disease or irritable bowel syndrome. To the examiner, it was clear from the specification examples that 'inflammatory' was the correct term. Agreement was reached on allowable subject matter in an interview of 7/17/10.